IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Burnett Godbee,)	Case No. 2:22-cv-2488-DCC
	Petitioner,)	
V.)	ORDER
Warden Dunbar,)	
warden Dunbar,)	
	Respondent.))	

Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, is seeking habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Mary Gordon Baker for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On July 18, 2023, Respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction. ECF No. 23. On December 5, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the Motion be granted and that the Petition be dismissed without prejudice. ECF No. 27. The Magistrate Judge advised Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences for failing to do so. Petitioner has not filed objections to the Report and the time to do so has lapsed.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The

Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the

Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or

modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating

that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo

review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)).

As stated above, Petitioner has not filed objections to the Report. Upon review for

clear error, the Court agrees with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, the Motion to Dismiss [23] is **GRANTED** and the Petition [1] is **DISMISSED**

without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr.
United States District Judge

March 28, 2024 Spartanburg, South Carolina

2